

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

This document clarifies the technical evaluation strategy for the Lethabo Power Station Supply of Fuses and Fuse Holders

## **2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES**

### **2.1 SCOPE**

This document discusses the different technical aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the multi-disciplinary Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation for the Lethabo Power Station Supply of Fuses and Fuse Holders. The team members who will be involved in the evaluation are listed and appointed in this document along with their responsibilities. This document also describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and/or conditions that will be applicable to the Scope of Contract. Once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is authorised, no changes will be made to the evaluation criteria without the appropriate revision process and authorisations.

#### **2.1.1 Purpose**

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

#### **2.1.2 Applicability**

This document applies to Lethabo Power Station.

### **2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

#### **2.2.1 Normative**

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems
- [3] ISO 14001 Safety Management Systems

#### **2.2.2 Informative**

- [4] Occupational Health and Safety Act; 1993
- [5] Environmental Regulations for Workplaces, 1987

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **2.3 DEFINITIONS**

### **2.3.1 Classification**

**Controlled Disclosure:** Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

## **2.4 ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
TS	Technical Specifications

## **2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

## **2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING**

The document is reviewed by Engineering, to ensure alignment of the scope for the respective contract.

## **2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

[5] 240-53716712: Tender Technical Evaluation Results Form

[6] 240-53716726: Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form

## **3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY**

### **3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD**

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted or point scored but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether or not the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is **75%**.

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 3.2 TET MEMBERS

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	NC Maide	O & M Engineer

### 3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

	Mandatory Technical Criteria	Reference to Technical Specification/Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1	Proof of Registration: Legal DOL registration as an Electrical Contractor.	Tender returnable	To ensure compliance of the OHS Act and regulations

### 3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following technical assessment criteria will be used to assess suppliers' technical submissions. A weighted score-card approach will be used to evaluate technical compliance of tenders against the specifications. Failure to achieve a weighted scoring of **75%** evaluated based on the technical requirements below and associated scoring will deem the supplier disqualified from participating further in this tender.

Note: Failure to submit and/ or adhere to the below stated mandatory technical requirements disqualifies the supplier from participating further in this tender.

REQUIREMENT	WEIGHTED SCORE (%)	REMARKS	SCORING	GUIDELINE
Supplier Requirements	35	The supplier meets the specifications of all items by providing datasheets  The supplier to provide replacement datasheet of the items that have been discontinued or Obsolete from Schedule B	0-7	No Submissions or less than 50% of datasheets supplied
			8-17	51% to 79% of datasheets supplied
			18-35	80% or more datasheets supplied
	35	The supplier has completed schedule B for each item.	0-7	Less than 50% Schedule B filled in of the provided items.
			8-17	Schedule B filled in with less than 80% of the provided items.

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

			18-35	80% - 100% schedule B item/material number data filled in.
	20	The supplier has provided details with regards to costs associated with deliveries and lead time for delivery.	0-4	No submissions, or less than 50%
			5-10	Supplied some of the documentation require, 51%-79% of information provided
			11-20	Supplied all documentation required, 80% and more
	10	Suppliers to provide references of previous Purchase Orders on supply and delivery of similar items.	0	No Submissions
			5	1 reference order
			10	1+ reference orders

### 3.5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Both Mandatory and Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria must be adhered to.

### 4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation

### 5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
July 2025	0	NC Maidi	Guideline for Technical Evaluation criteria.

### 6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- NC Maidi

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.